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## Object-Oriented Design

- Lots of students list "object-oriented design" on their resume
- But they don't really understand what OO design is!
- What it's not:
- Knowing what classes and objects are and how they are used in programs
- Knowing how to code classes and subclasses
- Understanding inheritance
- Object-oriented design is the art and science of planning a system of interacting objects for the purpose of solving a software problem


## Object-Oriented Design

- For relatively small systems, one can get away with sloppy design
- Not for large, complex systems
- Plus there are other considerations
- How extensible is the design?
- How scalable is the design?
- How adaptable is the design?
- How robust/maintainable is the design?
- Depending on context, any or all of these may be important considerations


## Useful books



The "Gang of Four" book 1994


Head First Design Patterns Book 2004

## What you can do

A design pattern is a general solution to a common problem in a context.

What you want
What you have

## Design Pattern Index

| Creational | Structural | Behavioural |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Factory Method | Adapter | Template |
| Abstract Factory | Bridge | Strategy |
| Builder | Composite | Command |
| Singleton | Decorator | State |
| Multiton | Facade | Visitor |
| Object pool | Flyweight | Chain of Responsibility |
| Prototype | Front controller | Interpreter |
|  | Proxy | Observer |
|  |  | Iterator |
|  |  | Mediator |

## Motivation

- A cook knows a lot of recipes
- They look up the ones they forget
- Design patterns are recipes for programmers
- How can you choose a good strategy if you don't know the range of available strategies?
- How can you talk about the choices if they don't have well understood names?
- Angel food cake
- Instruction: Fold don't stir...
- I used a food blender because it was there
- My angel food cake will forever be remembered as a pancake


## WARNING:

Overuse of design patterns can lead to code that is downright over-engineered.
Always go with the simplest solution that does the job and introduce patterns only where the need emerges.

## Introduction

- Designing object-oriented software is hard, designing reusable object-oriented software is even harder
- Design should be specific to problem, but also general enough to address future problems and requirements
- Expert designers reuse solutions that have worked for them in the past
- Recurring patterns of classes and communicating objects exist in many object-oriented systems


## Introduction

- If details of previous problems and their solutions are known, then they could be reused
- Recording experience in software design for others to use
- Design patterns = important and recurring design in object-oriented systems


## What is a Design Pattern?

"Each pattern describes a problem which occurs over and over again in our environment and then describes the core of the solution to that problem, in such a way that you can use this solution a million times over, without ever doing
it in the same way twice"

Christopher Alexander, A Pattern Language, 1977

## What is a Design Pattern

- A Pattern has 4 Essential Elements
- Pattern name
- Problem
- Solution
- Conquences


## Pattern Name

- A handle used to describe a design problem, its solutions and its consequences in a word or two
- Increases design vocabulary
- Makes it possible to design at a higher level of abstraction
- Enhances communication
- But finding a good name is often hard


## Problem

- Describes when to apply the pattern
- Explains the problem and its context
- Might describe specific design problems or class or object structures
- Might also describe specific objectives (e.g., extensibility)
- Sometimes contains a list of conditions that must be met before it makes sense to apply the pattern


## Solution

- Describes the elements that make up the design, their relationships, responsibilities and collaborations
- Doesn't describe a particular concrete design or implementation
- Abstract description of design problems and how the pattern solves it


## Consequences

- Results and trade-offs of applying the pattern
- Critical for evaluating design alternatives and for understanding the costs and benefits of applying the pattern
- Includes the impacts of a pattern on a system's flexibility, extensibility and/or portability


## Design Patterns Are Not

- Designs that can be encoded in classes and reused as is (i.e. linked lists, hash tables)
- Complex domain-specific designs (for an entire application or subsystem)
- They Are: "Descriptions of communicating objects and classes that are customized to solve a general design problem in a particular context."


## Good Uses For Design Patterns

- Finding appropriate objects
- Hard part of object-oriented design is decomposing a system into objects: Encapsulation, granularity, dependency, flexibility, performance, ...
- Design Patterns help identifying less obvious abstractions and the objects that can capture them
- As well as less obvious issues that might arise with certain design decisions


## Good Uses For Design Patterns

- Determining object granularity
- Objects can vary tremendously in size and number
- Design patterns address this also i.e., describing how to decompose an object into smaller objects


## Good Uses For Design Patterns

- Specifying object interfaces
- An object's interface characterizes the complete set of requests that can be sent to the object
- A type can be thought of as a particular interface
- Subtypes inherit the interfaces of its super types
- Design patterns help in defining the interfaces by identifying the key elements and the kind of data that gets sent across an interface
- A design pattern might also tell what not to put in an interface


## Specifying Object Implementations (2)

Class vs. Interface Inheritance:

- Distinction between class and type
- Many design patterns depend on this distinction

Programming to an Interface, not an Implementation:

- There two benefits from manipulating objects solely in terms of the interface defined by abstract classes

1. clients remain unaware of the specific types they use, as long as the objects adhere to the interface that clients expect
2. Clients remain unaware of the classes that implement these objects, clients only know about the abstract class(es) defining the interface

Creational Patterns assure that the system is written in terms of interfaces, not implementations

## Aside: Difference Between Class and Type

Class vs. Type:
An object's class defines how the object is implemented. The class defines object's internal state and the implementation of its operations.

In contrast, an object's type only refers to its interface - a set of requests to which it can respond.

An object can have many types, and objects of different classes can have the same type.

From GoF book

## Specifying Object Implementations (2)

Class vs. Interface Inheritance:

- Distinction between class and type
- Many design patterns depend on this distinction

Programming to an Interface, not an Implementation:

- There two benefits from manipulating objects solely in terms of the interface defined by abstract classes

1. clients remain unaware of the specific types they use, as long as the objects adhere to the interface that clients expect
2. Clients remain unaware of the classes that implement these objects, clients only know about the abstract class(es) defining the interface

Creational Patterns assure that the system is written in terms of interfaces, not implementations

## Good design principles

- Program to interfaces not to an implementation
- Separate what changes from what does not
- Encapsulate what varies behind an interface
- Favor composition over inheritance
- Inheritance: derives one class from another (tightly coupled)
- Composition: defines a class as the sum of its parts (loosely)
- Loosely couple objects that interact
- Classes should be open for extension, but closed for modification (the "open-closed principle")
- Such an entity can allow its behaviour to be extended without modifying its source code.
- Each class should have one responsibility
- Depend on abstractions, not concrete classes


## Open-Closed Principle

- An entity should allow its behavior to be extended without modifying its source code
- What on earth does this mean?


## Example - Calculating Area

```
public class Rectangle
{
    public double Width { get; set; }
    public double Height { get; set; }
}
```

```
public class AreaCalculator
{
    public double Area(Rectangle[] shapes)
    {
        double area = 0;
        foreach (var shape in shapes)
        {
        area += shape.Width*shape.Height;
        }
        return area;
    }
}
```


## Example - Calculating Area

```
public double Area(object[] shapes)
{
    double area = 0;
    foreach (var shape in shapes)
    {
        if (shape is Rectangle)
        {
            Rectangle rectangle = (Rectangle) shape;
            area += rectangle.Width*rectangle.Height;
            }
            else
            {
            Circle circle = (Circle)shape;
            area += circle.Radius * circle.Radius * Math.PI;
            }
    }
    return area;
}
```

```
public abstract class Shape
{
    public abstract double Area();
}
```

```
public class Rectangle : Shape
{
```

    public double Width \{ get; set; \}
    public double Height \{ get; set; \}
    public override double Area()
    \{
        return Width*Height;
        \}
    \}
public class Circle : Shape
\{
public double Radius \{ get; set; \}
public override double Area()
\{
return Radius*Radius*Math.PI;
\}
\}

## Example - Calculating Area

```
public double Area(Shape[] shapes)
{
    double area = 0;
    foreach (var shape in shapes)
    {
        area += shape.Area();
    }
    return area;
}
```


## Good use of Design Pattern Principles

- Let design patterns emerge from your design, don't use them just because you should
- Always choose the simplest solution that meets your need
- Always use the pattern if it simplifies the solution
- Know all the design patterns out there
- Talk a pattern when that simplifies conversation


## Three Types of Design Patterns

- Creational : Used to create objects for a suitable class that serves as a solution for a problem.
- Particularly useful when you are taking advantage of polymorphism and need to choose between different classes at runtime rather than compile time
- Behavioral : describe interactions between objects and focus on how objects communicate with each other.
- They can reduce complex flow charts to mere interconnections between objects of various classes.
- Structural: concerned with how classes and objects are composed to form larger structures


## Creational Design Patterns

## Factory Pattern

- Create an object without exposing creation logic to the client
- Refer to newly created object using a common interface
- Thanks to tutorialspoint: https://www.tutorialspoint.com/design patte rn/factory pattern.htm


## Factory Pattern Example Implementation

- Create a Shape interface and concrete classes implementing the Shape interface
- A factory class ShapeFactory is defined as a next step
- FactoryPatternDemo will use ShapeFactory to get a Shape object
- Passes information to ShapeFactory to get the type of object it requires


## Factory Pattern Example Implementation



## Factory Pattern Example Implementation

- Steps:
- Create interface
- Create concrete classes implementing interface
- Create a factory to generate concrete classes based on provided information
- Use factory to get object of concrete class by passing information, such as type
- Verify output


## Factory Pattern Example Implementation

- Create interface

```
Shape.java
public interface Shape {
    void draw();
}
```


## Factory Pattern Example Implementation

- Create concrete classes implementing same interface (next slide)

Rectangle.java

```
public class Rectangle implements Shape {
    @Override
    public void draw() {
    System.out.println("Inside Rectangle::draw() method.");
    }
}
```


## Square.java

```
public class Square implements Shape {
    @Override
    public void draw() {
    System.out.println("Inside Square::draw() method.");
    }
}
```


## Circle.java

```
public class Circle implements Shape {
    @Override
    public void draw() {
        System.out.println("Inside Circle::draw() method.");
    }
}
```


## Factory Pattern Example Implementation

- Create a factory to generate concrete classes based on provided information (next slide)


## ShapeFactory.java

```
public class ShapeFactory {
    //use getShape method to get object of type shape
    public Shape getShape(String shapeType){
    if(shapeType == null){
        return null;
    }
    if(shapeType.equalsIgnoreCase("CIRCLE")) {
        return new Circle();
    } else if(shapeType.equalsIgnoreCase("RECTANGLE")) {
        return new Rectangle();
    } else if(shapeType.equalsIgnoreCase("SQUARE")){
        return new Square();
    }
    return null;
    }
}
```


## Factory Pattern Example Implementation

- Use factory to get object of concrete class by passing information, such as type (next slide)


## FactoryPatternDemo.java

```
public class FactoryPatternDemo {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        ShapeFactory shapeFactory = new ShapeFactory();
        //get an object of Circle and call its draw method.
        Shape shape1 = shapeFactory.getShape("CIRCLE");
        //call draw method of Circle
        shape1.draw();
        //get an object of Rectangle and call its draw method.
        Shape shape2 = shapeFactory.getShape("RECTANGLE");
        //call draw method of Rectangle
        shape2.draw();
        //get an object of Square and call its draw method.
        Shape shape3 = shapeFactory.getShape("SOUARE");
    //call draw method of square
    shape3.draw();
}
}

\title{
Factory Pattern Example Implementation
}
- Verify output

\author{
Inside Circle::draw() method. \\ Inside Rectangle::draw() method. \\ Inside Square::draw() method.
}

\section*{Factory Design Pattern Goals}
- Separate what changes from what does not
- Encapsulate what varies behind an interface
- Depend on abstractions, not concrete classes
- Loosely couple objects that interact
- Design should be open for extension but closed to modification

\section*{Problems with using new}
- So myClass = new MyClass(....) is bad..
- It might be invoked from many places
- It might be replaced by new MyBetterClass(...)
- Many different subclasses might exist
- Want to be able to decide the subclass at runtime
- Want to create the correct subclass not old superclass
- Without ugly code present everywhere
- Want perhaps to pass around a tool to create whatever the tool is designed to create
- May not know what to create until run time

\section*{Factory Solution}
- Wrap code that creates objects inside a method
- Interface = createMyClass(parameters)
- We can change the class created now in one place
- Parameter can identify what to create
- Might make createMyClass static
- le. static MyClass::createMyClass(...)
- But this reassociates the creation with the class
- If we want to pass method around, put it in a factory object (cleaner than pointer to method)

\section*{Factory Pattern}
- High Level: uses factory methods to deal with the problem of creating objects without having to specify the exact class of the object that will be created. This is done by creating objects by calling a factory method-either specified in an interface and implemented by child classes, or implemented in a base class and optionally overridden by derived classesrather than by calling a constructor.
```

                    Now we give PizzaStore a reference
                to a SimplePizzaFactory.
    public class PizzaStore {
public PizzaStore(SimplePizzaFactory factory) {
this.factory = factory;
}
public Pizza orderPizza(String type) {
Pizza pizza;
pizza = factory.createPizza(type);
pizza.prepare();
pizza.bake();
pizza.cut();
pizza.box();
return pizza;
}
Notice that we've replaced the new operator with a create method on the factory object. No more concrete instantiations here!

```

This is the factory where we create This is the client of the
factory. Pizza st now goes through the SimplePizza Factory instances of pizza.

These are our concrete products. Each product needs to implement the Pizza interface* (which in this case means "extend the abstract Pizza class") and

The create method
declared statically.

We've defined Pizza as an abstract class with some helpful implementations that can be overridden. be concrete. As long as that's the case, it can be created by the factory and handed back to the client.

\section*{Advantages}
- Protects higher level code from ugly lower level detail such as which class should be created
- Any change to the class created is made just once
- We can make the objects to create dynamic
- Simply pass a pointer to a factory object to the things that need to create the objects
- Then the factory object becomes conceptually the instruction regarding what to create
- We can override createMyClass in subclasses or use it in any other way we wish
- (i.e. Use with Template design pattern (look it up))

\section*{Factory Pattern Definition}
- The Factory Method Pattern defines an interface for creating an object, but lets subclasses decide which class to instantiate.
- Question: How should we delete objects created using a factory.
- Should we have a matching deleteMyClass()
- Should it make the pointer to the object null
- Or should we use reference counting??

\section*{Factory Pattern Consequences}
- There may be many more classes than necessary
- Factory pattern hides implementation details of concrete classes, and in fact at times details of the classes themselves, from the client. But these details may be something that the client needs to know

\section*{Abstract Factory Pattern}
- Suppose we have a factory that can be told what objects to create from a choice of all within a framework
- Then we can create a new factory with the same "abstract" interfaces to create the same objects but from a very different framework
- Deciding which framework to use then becomes deciding which concrete instantiation of an abstract factory class to use


\section*{Advantages}
- Protects us from creating components from many frameworks that can't talk to each other
- Makes it easy to decide at run time which framework we want to use
- The framework chosen is transparent to all higher level code
- Makes it easier to add new frameworks if we have need to do so

\section*{Example}
- Domino's pizza's are flat
- Pizza Hut's pizza's are deep dish pizza's
- Both offer a variety of subclasses of pizzas
- If we create an abstract factory
- Subclass a Domino's pizza factory
- Subclass a Pizza Hut's pizza factory
- We won't need to create a pizza store for each
- Just give each pizza store a different factory
- Adding support for New York Pizza
- Piece of cake (pizza)

PizzaStore is now abstract (see why below).
```

public abstract class PizzaStore {

```
    pizza = createPizza(type);
    pizza.prepare();
    pizza.bake();
    pizza.cut();
    pizza.box();
    return pizza;
\}
```

public Pizza orderPizza(String type) {

```
public Pizza orderPizza(String type) {
    Pizza pizza;
```

    Pizza pizza;
    ```

This is employing the template design pattern (described later)


Now createPizza is back to being a call to a method in the PizzaStore rather than on a factory object.
abstract Pizza createPizza(String type);
abstract Pizza createPizza (String type); Now we've moved our factory object to this method.

Our "factory method" is now abstract in PizzaStore.

If a franchise wants \(N Y\) style pizzas for its customers, it uses the NY subelass, which has its own Create Pizza() method, creating NY style pizzas.

Each subclass overrides the createPizza() method, while all subclasses make use of the orderPizza() method defined in PizzaStore. We could make the orderPizza() method final if we really wanted to enforce this.



\section*{NYStylePizzaStore}
createPizza()

ChicagoStylePizzaStore
createPizza()

Remember: createPizza() is
abstract in PizzaStore, so all
pizza store subtypes MUST
implement the method.

Similarly, by using the
Chicago subclass, we get an implementation of createPizza() with Chicago ingredients.
- This is the template approach
- Can also pass factory to pizzastore
- Save it internally
- This is the strategy design pattern

\section*{Abstract Factory Definition}
- The Abstract Factory Pattern provides an interface for creating families of related or dependent objects without specifying their concrete classes

\section*{Strategy Design Pattern}
- A.k.a. Policy pattern
- A behavioral design pattern
- Enables objects to select their desired algorithm(s) at runtime
- Instead of coding algorithm directly, code instead receives run-time info which determines which algorithm from a particular family should be used
- So algorithm varies independently from clients that use it
- Deferring to run time allows for more flexible and reusable code

Thanks Wikipedia: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Strategy_pattern

\section*{Strategy Design Pattern}
- A.k.a. Policy pattern
- A behavioral design pattern
- Enables objects to select their desired algorithm(s) at runtime
- Instead of coding algorithm directly, code instead receives run-time info which determines which algorithm from a particular family should be used
- So algorithm varies independently from clients that use it
- Deferring to run time allows for more flexible and reusable code

\section*{Strategy Design Pattern}
- Example: Class needs to perform validation on incoming data
- Particular validation method might depend, for example, on data type, data source, user choice, etc.
- Particulars not known until runtime, and may require very different validation specifics
- The validation algorithms (strategies) encapsulated separately from validating object can be used by other objects in different parts of system (or different system) without code duplication

\section*{Strategy Design Pattern}


\section*{State Design Pattern}
- Behavioral design pattern that allows an object to change its behavior when internal state changes
- So effectively a variant of strategy pattern
- Can be a clean way for an object to change its behavior at run time without resorting to conditional statements
- Improves maintainability

\section*{State Design Pattern}
- Solves two primary problems
- Object should change behavior when internal state changes
- State-specific behavior should be defined independently
- I.e., adding new states should not affect behavior of existing states
- Implementing state-specific behavior directly within a class is inflexible
- It commits the class to a particular behavior
- It makes it impossible to add a new state or change the behavior of an existing state later without modifying the class

\section*{State Design Pattern}
- Two solutions
- For each state, design separate object that enacapsulates specific behavior for that state
- A class delegates state-specific behavior to its current state object
- Rather than implementing it directly
- Class becomes independent of implementation of state-specific behavior
- New states added by defining new state classes
- Class changes state at runtime by changing current state object

\section*{State Design Pattern}


Look familiar?

\section*{State Design Pattern Example}
```

interface State {
void writeName(StateContext context, String name);
}
class LowerCaseState implements State {
@Override
public void writeName(StateContext context, String name) {
System.out.println(name.toLowerCase());
context.setState(new MultipleUpperCaseState());
}
}
class MultipleUpperCaseState implements State {
/* Counter local to this state */
private int count = 0;
@Override
public void writeName(StateContext context, String name) {
System.out.println(name.toUpperCase());
/* Change state after StateMultipleUpperCase's writeName() gets invoked twice */
if (++count > 1) {
context.setState(new LowerCaseState());
}
}
}

```

\section*{State Design Pattern Example}
```

class StateContext {
private State state;
public StateContext() {
state = new LowerCaseState();
}
/**
* Set the current state.
* Normally only called by classes implementing the State interface.
* @param newState the new state of this context
*/
void setState(State newState) {
state = newState;
}
public void writeName(String name) {
state.writeName(this, name);
}
}

```

\section*{State Design Pattern Example}
```

public class StateDemo {
public static void main(String[] args) {
StateContext context = new StateContext();
context.writeName("Monday");
context.writeName("Tuesday");
context.writeName("Wednesday");
context.writeName("Thursday");
context.writeName("Friday");
context.writeName("Saturday");
context.writeName("Sunday");
}
}

```

\section*{Façade Pattern}
- A Structural design pattern
- As in architecture, a façade is a client facing interface that hides complexity of the underlying structure and code
- Façade delegates to the underlying interfaces/system(s)
- Potential uses:
- Improve readability and usability of software library by providing a single simplified interface
- Provide context-specific interface to generic functionality
- Allow for refactoring monolithic (typically tightly coupled) code into more loosely coupled code

\section*{Façade Pattern}
```

